

Docket No.: 5000-0179PUS1

(PATENT)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Patent Application of: Jordi TORMO I BLASCO et al.

Application No.: 10/582,169

Confirmation No.:

Filed: June 8, 2006

Art Unit: Not Yet Assigned

For: FUNGICIDAL MIXTURES

Examiner: Not Yet Assigned

LETTER

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Subsequent to the filing of the above-identified application on December 5, 2005, attached hereto is a (Form PCT/IB/373), and an English translation of the Written Opinion Of The International Searching Authority (Form PCT/ISA/237) that should be made of record in the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and future replies, to charge payment or to credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Dated: October 17, 2006

Respectfully submitted,

By_____Andrew D. Meikle

Registration No.: 32,868

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

8110 Gatehouse Road

Suite 100 East P.O. Box 747

Falls Church, Virginia 22040-0747

(703) 205-8000

Attorney for Applicant

Attachment(s)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY (Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 0000055140	FOR FURTHER ACTION	Sec item 4 below	_
International application No. PCT/EP2004/013936	International filing date (day/month/year) 08 December 2004 (08.12.2004)	Priority date (day/month/year) 10 December 2003 (10.12.2003)	_
	h edition unless older edition indicated) PCT/ISA/237		
Applicant BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT			

1.	This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a).			
2.	This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet. In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead.			
3.	. This report contains indications relating to the following items:			
	Box No. I	Basis of the report		
	Box No. II	Priority		
	Box No. III	Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability		
	Box No. IV	Lack of unity of invention		
	Box No. V	Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement		
	Box No. VI	Certain documents cited		
	Box No. VII	Certain defects in the international application		
	Box No. VIII	Certain observations on the international application		
4.	The International Bureau will conot, except where the applicant date (Rule 44bis .2).	ommunicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority		

	Date of issuance of this report 29 August 2006 (29.08.2006)
The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes 1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland	Authorized officer Agnes Wittmann-Regis
Facsimile No. +41 22 338 82 70	e-mail: pt06@wipo.int

Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing See form PCT/ISA/210 (day/month/year) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION See paragraph 2 below 0000055140 Priority date (day/month/year) International filing date (day/month/year) International application No. 10.12.2003 08.12.2004 PCT/EP2004/013936 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A01N43/90 Applicant BASF AKTIENGESELLSCHAFT This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion Box No. II Priority Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability Box No. III Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial Box No. V applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement Box No. VI Certain documents cited Certain defects in the international application Box No. VII Certain observations on the international application Box No. VIII FURTHER ACTION 2. If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA") except that this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notified the International Bureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of 3 months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Authorized officer Name and mailing address of the ISA/EP Telephone No. Facsimile No.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.

PCT/EP2004/013936

Bo	x No. I	Basis of this opinion	
l.	With filed,	regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was unless otherwise indicated under this item.	1
		This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language	
	<u> </u>	, which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under	
		Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b)).	
2.	With inver	regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed action, this opinion has been established on the basis of:	
	a.	type of material	
		a sequence listing	
		table(s) related to the sequence listing	
	b.	format of material	
		in written format	
		in computer readable form	l
	c.	time of filing/furnishing	l
		contained in the international application as filed.	١
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.	١
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.	
		The state of the s	١
3.		In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table(s) relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.	
4.	Add	ditional comments:	
			۱
			ļ

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No.
PCT/EP2004/013936

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement				
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N)	Claims.	1-10	YES
		Claims		NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-10	YES
		Claims		NO
	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-10	YES
	•	Claims		NO
1				

2. Citations and explanations:

The present invention relates to a synergistic fungicidal mixture of a first active substance (triazolopyrimidine of the formula (I) and a second active substance (dinocap, of the formula (II)).

D1 (WO 98/46607) describes (I) as a possibility within a Markush formula and the possibility of achieving a synergistic effect with all active substances, *inter alia* (II). To arrive at the present solution, two choices would have to have been made: one within the list of the preferred compounds (I) and one within the list of the active substances (II).

D1 does not disclose the claimed compositions. Moreover, on the basis of the *a priori* unforeseeable aspect of synergy in general, a person skilled in the art would not have expected such a synergistic effect.

The present application therefore satisfies the criteria mentioned in PCT Article 33(2)-(4) because the subject matter of the present claims is novel in the light of the prior art outlined in the Regulations (PCT Rule 61.1-64.3), is based on an inventive step (PCT Rule 65.1-65.2) and is industrially applicable.